



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/576,653

11/16/2006

Wei Cheng

05-937-B5

5425

20306

7590

11/25/2008

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP
300 S. WACKER DRIVE
32ND FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

JAISLE, CECILIA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Lack of Unity

Claims 13-20, 29 and 34-36 are under examination. Claims 37-43 are withdrawn from examination as directed to non-elected subject matter.

Rejections Under 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-20, 29, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the application as filed for the newly added proviso in claim 13 “when X is –O– or –N(R5)–, then Y cannot contain phenyl, naphthyl, cyclohexyl, dihydronaphthyl tetrahydronaphthyl [*sic*], or a five- to six-membered heteroaryl, each optionally substituted.” The introduction of claim changes which involve narrowing the claims by introducing elements or limitations which are not supported by the as-filed disclosure is a violation of the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. See, e.g., *Fujikawa v. Wattanasin*, 39 USPQ2d 1895, 1905 (Fed. Cir. 1996)

Art Unit: 1624

(a “laundry list” disclosure of every possible moiety does not constitute a written description of every species in a genus because it would not “reasonably lead” those skilled in the art to any particular species or sub-genus).

The application as filed does not disclose the proposed sub-genus in *ipsis verbis*. *Ipsis verbis* disclosure is not necessary to satisfy section 112 written description requirement. Instead, the disclosure need only reasonably convey to persons skilled in the art that applicant had possession of the subject matter in question. *In re Edwards*, 196 USPQ 465, 467 (CCPA 1978). In other words, the question is whether the present “application provides adequate direction which reasonably [would lead] persons skilled in the art” to the sub-genus of the proposed claim. As was remarked by the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals more than forty years ago and remains true today:

It is an old custom in the woods to mark trails by making blaze marks on the trees. It is no help in finding a trail . . . to be confronted simply by a large number of unmarked trees. [Applicants] are pointing to trees. We are looking for blaze marks which single out particular trees. We see none.

In re Ruschig, 154 USPQ 118, 122 (CCPA 1967).

Allowed Claim

Claim 34 is allowed. Following is an examiner’s statement of reasons for allowance. Each of the species of claim 34 is structurally, chemically and functionally distinct from each of the references previously cited against other claims in this application. In addition, claim 34 is neither anticipated nor obvious over any of the other references of record, whether taken individually or in any combination.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cecilia M. Jaisle, J.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-9931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday; 8:30 am through 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cecilia M. Jaisle

11/19/2008

/James O. Wilson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624